

From: [REDACTED]
To: NorfolkVanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Personal Deadline 9 submission
Date: 06 June 2019 19:26:03

May I offer a short personal response to the Applicant's Comments on Deadline 7 Submissions, point 2.7?

They state that they "have written regularly to Cawston PC, on which Mr Monk sits" and go on to imply that by not being in attendance at their early presentation I would not be aware of the ongoing direct conversations.

This is both patronising and incorrect. I was not a member of the Parish Council at this time.

We were first alerted to the issues by a letter received from Orsted in November last year. I was co-opted onto the PC Wind Farm Working Group in December and to the Council itself only last month. However, speaking to those who were councillors, I do not get the impression that they considered there to be any significant "direct two way conversations" with the Applicant until recently.

We stand by our verdict on poor consultation and "box ticking".

Thank you

Chris Monk
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
